arXiv:hep-ph/9701216v1 4 Jan 1997

Can Neutrinos be Majorana Particles?

Steen Hannestad
Theoretical Astrophysics Center and Institute of Physics and Astronomy, University of Aarhus, DK-8000 Århus C, Denmark

(February 1, 2008)

It was recently claimed that the observed physical neutrinos cannot be of Majorana type because such particles lack vector interactions. Contrary to this claim we show that if the Majorana neutrino is massless it is indistinguishable from the Dirac neutrino so that observed physical neutrinos may equally well be of Majorana or Dirac type.

13.15.+g, 14.60.Lm, 12.15.Mm

Neutrinos are the only known fermions without charge and therefore they may in principle be their own antiparticles. If this is the case, only two free parameters are needed to describe the neutrino, a left handed and a right handed component. This is the well known Majorana type neutrino [1]. The standard fermion description of a neutrino is the Dirac neutrino, consisting of four degrees of freedom, left and right handed particles and antiparticles [1]. However, two of these components are sterile because only the left handed neutrino and the right handed antineutrino interact if the neutrino is massless. Even though both Dirac and Majorana neutrinos are described by only two different parameters there is the fundamental difference that the Majorana neutrino field is invariant under CPT [1]. Therefore one might suspect that the Dirac and Majorana descriptions are not equivalent even for zero neutrino mass.

Indeed, it was recently claimed that the observed physical neutrinos of the weak interaction cannot be Majorana particles because physical Majorana neutrinos should be unpolarized [2]. Furthermore such particles do not possess vector neutral current interactions. Therefore they should have interactions that are quite different from Dirac neutrinos and be excluded by the CHARM II measurement of the neutrino neutral current coupling [3].

The argument is that the Majorana field is a linear combination of left handed and right handed parts

$$\psi_M = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\psi_L + \psi_L^{CPT}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\psi_L^M + \psi_R^M), \tag{1}$$

where ψ_L is a left handed neutrino field.

In Ref. [2] it is claimed that the same should be true for the Majorana states. However, Eq. (1) is not equivalent to saying that the physical Majorana states are always unpolarized. Indeed, the Majorana field should be invariant under the CPT operation. However, the physical Majorana state reverses its spin under CPT [1]

$$\Theta \mid \nu(p,s) >= \eta_\Theta \mid \nu(p,-s) >, \tag{2}$$

where $\Theta = CPT$ and η_Θ is a phase factor. Therefore, contrary to what the author of Ref. [2] claims, the states do not have to be invariant under CPT and therefore physical Majorana neutrinos are not required to be unpolarized. This just shows how important it is to distinguish between the field and the states. The field is by definition an operator, defined by the creation and annihilation operators, whereas the states are defined by the action of the creation operator on vacuum [4]

Now, let us consider the situation with the CHARM II experiment. This experiment uses muon neutrino and antineutrino scattering on target electrons to measure the neutral weak current coupling constants, A and V. The neutrinos are produced via the charged weak current decays of charged kaons and pions [3] and therefore they are born with definite helicity. This is simply because of the $V - A$ structure of the charged weak current

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{e}\gamma_\mu(1-\gamma_5)\nu_M. \tag{3}$$

Negatively charged kaons and pions have to produce muons and right handed muon neutrinos because the right handed current is not active in weak interactions, whereas positively charged kaons and pions necessarily produce lefthanded muon neutrinos [5]. The calculation of the cross section for neutral current scattering of a fully polarized Majorana neutrino on an electron then gives, $\nu_\mu e^- \to \nu_\mu e^-$, is straightforward and gives

$$\begin{aligned} |M|^2 &= 4G_F^2[(C_A - C_V)^2(p_{\nu_\mu,1}\cdot p_{e,2})^2 \\ &\quad +(C_A + C_V)^2(p_{\nu_\mu,1}\cdot p_{e,1})^2], \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where index 1 indicates incoming particles and index 2 outgoing particles. This exactly equivalent to the corresponding Dirac matrix element [6]. This means that the vector interaction is reintroduced by the definite initial chirality of the Majorana neutrino even though one may show that the vector part of the neutral current disappears by using CPT conservation arguments [7]. So even if they are detected via the neutral current scattering on electrons, the Majorana neutrinos will be completely indistinguishable from Dirac neutrinos.

If the Majorana neutrino was indeed unpolarized [8], the corresponding matrixelement would be

$$\begin{aligned} |M|^2 &= 4G_F^2[(C_A + C_V)^2\{(p_{\nu_\mu,1}\cdot p_{e,2})^2 \\ &\quad +(p_{\nu_\mu,1}\cdot p_{e,1})^2\}], \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

leading to a completely different cross section.

Thus, the Majorana neutrinos produced by charged currents are chiral eigenstates and therefore fully polarized because of the lefthanded nature of the charged weak interaction, even though the Majorana field is a linear combination of left and right handed parts. The claim that the observed neutrinos of the weak interaction cannot be Majorana particles is therefore not correct.

For massive neutrinos the situation is not so simple because there exist no chiral eigenstates. This leads to detectable differences between Dirac and Majorana neutrinos, because there are 4 physical components for a massive Dirac neutrino [9] [1]. In this case one should use a polarization density matrix formalism [10] in order to do the calculations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank Georg Raffelt and Jes Madsen for enlightening discussions on this subject. Financial support by the Theoretical Astrophysics Center under the Danish National Research Foundation is recognised.

[1] See for example R. N. Mohapatra and P. B. Pal, *Massive Neutrinos in Physics and Astrophysics*, World Scientific (1991); C. W. Kim and A. Pevsner, *Neutrinos in Physics and Astrophysics*, Harwood Academic Publishers (1993).
[2] R. Plaga, Report No. hep-ph/9610545, 1996.
[3] P. Vilain *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **335B**, 246 (1994).
[4] For a discussion of this see for example the monograph by C. W. Kim and A. Pevsner cited above
[5] K. Grotz and H. V. Klapdor, *The Weak Interaction in Nuclear, Particle and Astrophysics*, Adam Hilger (1990).
[6] S. Hannestad and J. Madsen, Phys. Rev. D **52** 1764 (1995).
[7] R. E. Shrock, Phys. Rev. D **28**, 1023 (1984).
[8] One case where Majorana neutrinos may be regarded as unpolarized is the early Universe, where the left and righthanded components are equally abundant and may be treated as a single unpolarized species. See for example B. D. Fields, K. Kainulainen, and K. A. Olive, Report No. hep-ph/9512321, 1995.
[9] See for example K. J. F. Gaemers, R. Gandhi, and J. M. Lattimer, Phys. Rev. D **40**, 309 (1989); K. Grotz and H. V. Klapdor, *The Weak Interaction in Nuclear, Particle and Astrophysics*, Adam Hilger 1990.
[10] T. Garavaglia, Phys. Rev. D **29**, 387 (1984).

---

[1] One major difference between massive Majorana and Dirac neutrinos is that helicity flipping involves violation of lepton number for Majorana neutrinos, whereas it does not for Dirac neutrinos.